



RESEARCH BRIEF

The Climate and Economic Rationale for Investment in Life Extension of Spanish Nuclear Power Plants

Anthony Fratto Oyler and John Parsons

Nuclear power provides more than 20 percent of Spain's electricity. As each of the seven plants approaches its 40 year design life, a decision must be made whether to invest in a life extension. This decision must be made in light of Spain's goal of reducing GHG emissions. This research shows that life extensions are the least-cost alternative for further reducing GHG emissions.

The transformation of Spain's generation assets is a fundamental question facing industry and government leaders. Earlier this year the Spanish government released a "Commission of Experts" report analyzing a variety of installed capacity scenarios for 2030. Scenarios with and without nuclear life extensions were explored. More recently, the Prime Minister announced draft climate and energy legislation targeting 100 percent renewables by 2050 and an end to subsidies for fossil fuel generating plants. However, the announcement was silent on the role of the existing nuclear assets in the interim.

This research looks at the total system cost of supplying Spain's electricity needs in 2030 with and without nuclear life extensions. If the nuclear plants are retired, then Spain must select a replacement for the lost generation. In order to maintain the same

level of GHG emissions, we examine the cost of replacement with additional solar PV, or with wind, or a combination of the two. Alternatively, it could include some incremental use of fossil-fueled generation such as NGCC units, which would produce incremental GHG emissions.

To calculate system cost, we model the least-cost dispatch to meet a 2030 scenario for hourly load given scenarios for hydro, solar and wind resources. Given the scale of solar PV and wind penetration anticipated by 2030, curtailment is likely to have a significant impact on system costs. The dispatch model optimizes the use of Spain's hydro reservoirs, pumped hydro and future battery capacity in order to minimize curtailment of renewable generation. Our calculation of system cost captures the impact of curtailments after minimization using storage.

We utilized our dispatch model to determine portfolios of capacity that substitute different combinations of solar PV and wind capacity as a replacement for the nuclear life extensions. These substitute portfolios serve the same load and achieve the same level of total GHG emissions from generation, but have different cost.

Ultimately we show that investing in nuclear plant life extensions is the least-cost alternative for further reducing GHG emissions. Social cost savings on the extension of all seven plants are at least €8 billion relative to the next least cost option.

The research also examines the value of nuclear life extensions in comparison to natural gas-fired combined-cycle plants. We first do this without regard to any cost attributed to GHG emissions, and we again find nuclear plant life extensions are the most cost efficient option. Forecasted natural gas price would have to fall below €17/MWh before the avoided

cost of combined-cycle generation fell below the incremental system cost of the nuclear generation and capacity. In addition, preserving the seven nuclear plants reduces GHG emissions by more than 16 million tons (CO₂eq).

As this working paper emphasizes, nuclear life extensions are an element of the least-cost path to decarbonization. An earlier CEEPR working paper, now published, had shown the same result for the U.S.—see Haratyk (2017). The importance of preserving the existing nuclear fleet is one of the conclusions of the recently released MIT study on the Future of Nuclear Energy in a Carbon-Constrained World (2018). Other colleagues here at MIT have also demonstrated the value of nuclear to decarbonization using a more complex and robust modeling framework—see Sepulveda et al. (2018).

References

- Comisión de Expertos de Transición Energética (Commission of Experts) (2018). *Análisis y Propuestas Para la Descarbonización*.
- Buoniorno et al. (2018). *The Future of Nuclear Energy in a Carbon Constrained World*, MIT.
- Fratto Oylar, A. and John E. Parsons (2018) "The Climate and Economic Rationale for Investment in Life Cycle Extension of Spanish Nuclear Power Plants", MIT CEEPR Working Paper 2018-016.
- Haratyk, G. (2017). "Early nuclear retirements in deregulated US markets: Causes, implications and policy options." *Energy Policy* 110: 150–166. and MIT CEEPR Working Paper 2017-009.
- Sepulveda, Nestor A., Jesse D. Jenkins, Fernando J. de Sisternes, and Richard K. Lester (2018). "The Role of Firm Low-Carbon Electricity Resources in Deep Decarbonization of Power Generation," *Joule* 2:1-18.

About the Center for Energy and Environmental Policy Research (CEEPR)

Since 1977, CEEPR has been a focal point for research on energy and environmental policy at MIT. CEEPR promotes rigorous, objective research for improved decision making in government and the private sector, and secures the relevance of its work through close cooperation with industry partners from around the globe. CEEPR is jointly sponsored at MIT by the MIT Energy Initiative (MITEI), the Department of Economics, and the Sloan School of Management.

About the Authors



Anthony Fratto-Oyler is a Technology and Policy M.S. Candidate at the MIT Institute for Data, Systems, and Society. His research focuses on the valuation of technology that will play a role in the decarbonization of electricity sector and the policy that will support such shifts. Anthony received his B.S. in Chemical Engineering and Political Science from the University of Utah.



John Parsons is a Senior Lecturer at the MIT Sloan School of Management and the Head of the MBA Finance Track. He is also the Co-Director of MIT's CANES Low Carbon Energy Center which explores new avenues for nuclear fission, and a Research Affiliate at MIT's Center for Energy and Environmental Policy Research.

About the Center for Energy and Environmental Policy Research (CEEPR)

Since 1977, CEEPR has been a focal point for research on energy and environmental policy at MIT. CEEPR promotes rigorous, objective research for improved decision making in government and the private sector, and secures the relevance of its work through close cooperation with industry partners from around the globe. CEEPR is jointly sponsored at MIT by the MIT Energy Initiative (MITEI), the Department of Economics, and the Sloan School of Management.